UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,290	04/14/2004	C. Todd Praisner	014033-000123	6053
	7590 11/01/200 AN ALLEN, PLLC FOI	EXAMINER		
430 DAVIS DI	RIVE, SUITE 500	SNEED, KWELLI D		
POST OFFICE BOX 13706 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.232.11.13.1	,		4172	
,			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/824,290	PRAISNER, C. TODD				
omec Adden Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Kwelli D. Sneed	4172				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ma) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 May 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of References Cited (PTO-032) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/16/2004.	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Note to Applicant

The following detailed action was examined under the premise that the invention set forth is a form of the business and financial practice of factoring accounts receivables, which is defined as being a procedure in which a firm can sell its accounts receivable invoices to a factoring firm, which pays a percentage of the invoices immediately. For the sake of examination this is the viewpoint of the Examiner throughout the course of the examination as well as supported by the claim language set forth by applicant's specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In Claim 1, the applicant makes a reference to, "generating a pseudo-credit transaction," and "settling, the pseudo-credit transaction." In examination of this application, the Examiner referred to the specification as a means in understanding the concept of "pseudo-credit" and how to enable "pseudo-credit" as claimed in Claim 1. The specification offered no additional explanation/definition as to what "pseudo-credit" is and/or explain how to thoroughly enable Claim 1. Therefore Claim 1 offers enablement issues that must be resolved, so that one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 4172

the art of finance would have the ability to enable Claim 1 without undue experimentation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Thomas A. Johnson, (*Internal Auditing*. Accounts Receivable Financing Boston:
Fall 1990. Vol.6, Iss. 2; pg 61); in view of Mie Yun Lee (*Kansas City Business Journal*: Factoring Can Smooth out Bottom of Cash Flow Cycle) Vol.16, Issue 28; March 27, 1998 p. 38) and in further view of Slater et al. (US Patent Number 6,098,053).

As per Claim 1, Thomas A. Johnson, (Internal Auditing. Accounts Receivable

Financing Boston: Fall 1990. Vol.6, Iss. 2; pg 61); discloses a method for pushing credit
payments as buyer initiated transactions, comprising:

determining payment instructions for an accounts payable, the accounts payable
representing a purchase made by a buyer from a merchant, see (Thomas A. Johnson

Internal Auditing. Accounts Receivable Financing, Boston: Fall 1990. Vol.6, Iss. 2; pg

Art Unit: 4172

61; Abstract Summary lines 1-3); communicating electronically the payment instructions from the buyer to an acquirer, see (Thomas A. Johnson Internal Auditing. <u>Accounts Receivable Financing</u> Boston: Fall 1990. Vol.6, Iss. 2; pg 61; Abstract Summary line 4); the acquirer being an entity that buys credit card receipts from merchants see,(Thomas A. Johnson Internal Auditing. <u>Accounts Receivable Financing</u> Boston: Fall 1990. Vol.6, Iss. 2; pg 61; Abstract Summary line 4);

Johnson does not disclose generating a pseudo-credit transaction based upon the payment instructions, the pseudo-credit transaction representing the buyer initiated payment; and settling the pseudo-credit transaction.

Mie-Yun-Lee. (*Kansas City Business Journal*: Vol.16, Issue 28; March 27, 1998) disclose generating a pseudo-credit transaction based upon the payment instructions, the pseudo-credit transaction representing the buyer initiated payment; and settling the pseudo-credit transaction, see (*Kansas City Business Journal*: <u>Factoring Can Smooth out Bottom of Cash Flow Cycle</u>) Vol.16, Issue 28; March 27, 1998 p. 38, lines 6-8 and 9, and 11-12)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the knowledge set forth by Thomas A. Johnson and Mie-Yun Lee to see that the purchaser of the accounts receivable is able to allow customers to make payments against accounts payable by using all electronic forms funds transfer.

Art Unit: 4172

As per Claim 2, Thomas A. Johnson, does not disclose a method utilizing merchant profiles to determine whether payment instructions should include a credit payment or a debit payment, wherein the generating step is used where the payment instructions include a credit payment and wherein a separate step is used of electronically transferring funds from the buyer to the acquirer where the payment instructions include a debit payment.

Slater et al. (US Patent 6,098,053) teaches a method utilizing merchant profiles to determine whether payment instructions should include a credit payment or a debit payment, wherein the generating step is used where the payment instructions include a credit payment and wherein a separate step is used of electronically transferring funds from the buyer to the acquirer where the payment instructions include a debit payment see (US Patent Number 6,098,053, col. 1, lines 18-21, 29-30, and 40-44 and col.2 lines 12-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the knowledge set forth by Thomas A. Johnson and Mie-Yun Lee to see that the purchaser of the accounts receivable is able to allow customers to make payments against accounts payable by using all electronic forms funds transfer.

As per Claim 3, A system for pushing credit payments as buyer initiated transactions, comprising: a purchasing management system associated with a buyer, the buyer having an accounts payable;

an acquirer computer system configured to receive electronically payment instructions from the purchasing management system, the computer system being associated with an entity that buys credit card receipts from merchants, (Thomas A. Johnson Internal Auditing. <u>Accounts Receivable Financing</u> Boston: Fall 1990. Vol.6, Iss. 2; pg 61; Abstract Summary line 4);

Johnson does not specifically disclose wherein the acquirer computer system is further configured to generate a pseudo-credit transaction based upon the payment instructions, the credit transaction representing the buyer initiated payment; and wherein the acquirer computer system is further configured to settle the pseudo-credit transaction.

Slater et al (US Patent Number 6,098,053) discloses wherein the acquirer computer system is further configured to generate a pseudo-credit transaction based upon the payment instructions, the credit transaction representing the buyer initiated payment; and wherein the acquirer computer system is further configured to settle the pseudo-credit transaction (US Patent Number 6,098,053, col. 1, lines 18-21, 29-30, and 40-44 and col.2 lines 12-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the knowledge set forth by Thomas A. Johnson and Slater et al. to see that the purchaser of the accounts receivable is able to allow customers to make payments against accounts payable by using all electronic forms funds transfer.

As per Claim 4, Thomas A. Johnson a system wherein the purchasing management system is configured to utilize merchant profiles to determine whether payment instructions should include a credit payment or a debit payment, such that a pseudo-credit transaction is generated where the payment instructions include a credit payment and such that funds are electronically transferred from the buyer to the acquirer where the payment instructions include a debit payment.

Slater et al (US Patent Number 6,098,053) a system wherein the purchasing management system is configured to utilize merchant profiles to determine whether payment instructions should include a credit payment or a debit payment, such that a pseudo-credit transaction is generated where the payment instructions include a credit payment and such that funds are electronically transferred from the buyer to the acquirer where the payment instructions include a debit payment (US Patent Number 6,098,053, col. 1, lines 18-21, 29-30, and 40-44 and col.2 lines 12-17).

Application/Control Number: 10/824,290 Page 8

Art Unit: 4172

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the knowledge set forth by Thomas A. Johnson and Slater et al. to see that the purchaser of the accounts receivable is able to allow customers to make payments against accounts payable by using all electronic forms funds transfer.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,290

Art Unit: 4172

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed

to Kwelli D. Sneed whose telephone number is (571) 270-3446. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday -Thursday 7:00 am 4:40 pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas A. Dixon

can be reached on (571) 272-6708.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status Information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. Should

you have any questions about the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center

(EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kwelli Sneed

Page 9

Examiner

Art Unit 4172